

On First-Order Generalized Maxwell Equations

S. I. Kruglov ¹

*University of Toronto at Scarborough,
Physical and Environmental Sciences Department,
1265 Military Trail, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M1C 1A4*

Abstract

The generalized Maxwell equations including an additional scalar field are considered in the first-order formalism. The gauge invariance of the Lagrangian and equations is broken resulting in the appearance of a scalar field. We find the canonical and symmetrical Belinfante energy-momentum tensors. It is shown that the traces of the energy-momentum tensors are not equal to zero and the dilatation symmetry is broken in the theory considered. The matrix Hamiltonian form of equations is obtained after the exclusion of the nondynamical components. The canonical quantization is performed and the propagator of the fields is found in the first-order formalism.

1 Introduction

In [1] we found and investigated the matrix (first-order) formulation of the generalized Maxwell equations, and solutions for a free particle in the form of the projection matrix-dyads. The matrices of an equation obey the generalized Duffin-Kemmer algebra. The generalized Maxwell equations can be obtained by breaking the gauge invariance and refusing the Lorentz condition, $\partial_\mu A_\mu \neq 0$. As a result, a scalar field $\varphi = \partial_\mu A_\mu$ appears because the vector field realizes the $(1/2, 1/2)$ representation of the Lorentz group and possesses four degrees of freedom (three of them describe spin one and another – spin zero). The scalar field here is a ghost field which can be removed by the path integration formulation of electrodynamics [2]. The reason why we leave this ghost field is scalar fields play an important role in the Standard Model (the Higgs field) and in astrophysics (the inflation field). It should be noted that

¹E-mail: krouglov@utsc.utoronto.ca

in some scenarios of Universe inflation ghost scalar fields (k-essence, Phantom) are insensitively explored [3]. An interesting scenario of inflation deals with phenomenon of the ghost condensation [4].

In this work we find the canonical and symmetrical Belinfante energy-momentum tensors, the dilatation current, and study the matrix Hamilton form of equations, and the canonical quantization.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec.2, we formulate the generalized Maxwell equations in the matrix form. The canonical and the symmetrical Belinfante energy momentum tensors are obtained in Sec.3. We find the dilatation current and demonstrate that the dilatation symmetry is broken. The quantum-mechanical Hamiltonian is obtained in Sec.4. In Sec.5, the canonical quantization of fields is performed and the matrix propagator of fields is obtained. We draw a conclusion in Sec.6. The Euclidean metric is used and the system of units $\hbar = c = 1$ is chosen.

2 Matrix form of equations

The generalized Maxwell equations may be cast as follows [1]:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\nu \psi_{[\mu\nu]} + \partial_\mu \psi_0 &= 0, \\ \partial_\nu \psi_\mu - \partial_\mu \psi_\nu + \kappa \psi_{[\mu\nu]} &= 0, \\ \partial_\mu \psi_\mu + \kappa \psi_0 &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

The mass parameter κ is introduced to have fields ψ_A ($A = 0, \mu, [\mu\nu]$) with the same dimension. Physical values do not depend on κ . It follows from Eq.(1) that fields ψ_A satisfy the wave equation: $\partial_\mu^2 \psi_A(x) = 0$ that does not depend on the dimensional parameter κ . In the second-order formulation of the theory, the fields ψ_0 and $\psi_{[\mu\nu]}$ can be eliminated and all that is left is the field ψ_μ obeying the wave equation. The scope of our consideration is the first-order formulation of the theory and, therefore, we introduce the auxiliary fields ψ_0 and $\psi_{[\mu\nu]}$. One can treat Eq.(1) as the Maxwell equations in the general gauge [2]. The gradient term $j_\mu = -\partial_\mu \psi_0$ plays the role of the four-current and depends on the vector-potential ψ_μ . In such electrodynamics the vector-potential ψ_μ is a “physical” variable as well as the strengths of the “electric”, $E_m = i\psi_{[m4]}$, and “magnetic”, $H_k = (1/2)\varepsilon_{kmn}\psi_{[mn]}$, fields.

Eq.(1) can be written in the 11×11 -matrix form [1]:

$$(\alpha_\nu \partial_\nu + \kappa P) \Psi(x) = 0,\tag{2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_\mu &= \beta_\mu^{(1)} + \beta_\mu^{(0)}, \quad \beta_\mu^{(1)} = \varepsilon^{\nu, [\nu\mu]} + \varepsilon^{[\nu\mu], \nu}, \quad \beta_\mu^{(0)} = \varepsilon^{\mu, 0} + \varepsilon^{0, \mu}, \\ P &= \varepsilon^{0, 0} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{[\mu\nu], [\mu\nu]}, \quad \Psi(x) = \{\psi_A(x)\},\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

and elements of the entire matrix algebra $\varepsilon^{A,B}$ obey equations: $(\varepsilon^{A,B})_{CD} = \delta_{AC}\delta_{BD}$, $\varepsilon^{A,B}\varepsilon^{C,D} = \delta_{BC}\varepsilon^{A,D}$. Matrices α_ν , P are Hermitian matrices, and the P is the projection operator, $P^2 = P$.

The 11×11 -matrices α_μ satisfy the algebra as follows [1]:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_\mu\alpha_\nu\alpha_\alpha + \alpha_\alpha\alpha_\nu\alpha_\mu + \alpha_\mu\alpha_\alpha\alpha_\nu + \alpha_\nu\alpha_\alpha\alpha_\mu + \alpha_\nu\alpha_\mu\alpha_\alpha + \alpha_\alpha\alpha_\mu\alpha_\nu \\ = 2(\delta_{\mu\nu}\alpha_\alpha + \delta_{\alpha\nu}\alpha_\mu + \delta_{\mu\alpha}\alpha_\nu).\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

The Lagrangian of the theory suggested is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\overline{\Psi}(x)(\alpha_\mu\partial_\mu + \kappa P)\Psi(x),\tag{5}$$

where $\overline{\Psi}(x)\Psi(x) = \Psi^+(x)\eta\Psi(x)$ is the Lorentz invariant, and the Hermitianizing matrix η is

$$\eta = -\varepsilon^{0,0} + \varepsilon^{m,m} - \varepsilon^{4,4} + \varepsilon^{[m4],[m4]} - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{[mn],[mn]}.\tag{6}$$

The η is the Hermitian matrix, $\eta^+ = \eta$, and the Lagrangian (5) is the real function that can be verified with the help of relations: $\eta\alpha_m = -\alpha_m^+\eta^+$ ($m=1,2,3$), $\eta\alpha_4 = \alpha_4^+\eta^+$. In terms of fields ψ_A , the Lagrangian (5) reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \psi_0\partial_\mu\psi_\mu - \psi_\mu\partial_\mu\psi_0 - \psi_\rho\partial_\mu\psi_{[\rho\mu]} + \psi_{[\rho\mu]}\partial_\mu\psi_\rho + \kappa\left(\psi_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\psi_{[\rho\mu]}^2\right).\tag{7}$$

It is easy to verify that Euler-Lagrange equations $\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial\psi_A - \partial_\mu(\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial\partial_\mu\psi_A) = 0$ lead to Eq.(1). For fields ψ_A obeying Eq.(1), the Lagrangian (7) vanishes. We can exclude the auxiliary fields ψ_0 and $\psi_{[\mu\nu]}$ from Eq.(7), and as a result, the Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\kappa}\psi_\mu\partial_\alpha^2\psi_\mu.\tag{8}$$

To have the standard kinetic term, one may renormalize the fields, and within the 4-divergence, the Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}' = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\nu A_\mu)^2.\tag{9}$$

The second-order formulation based on the simple Lagrangian (9) leads to the negative metric state [5], [6]. This occurs because of the presence of the ghost. In the theory with the gage invariance, the negative metric state can be decoupled. One can exclude the negative metric state by putting $\psi_0 = 0$ in the model considered.

3 The energy-momentum tensor

The canonical energy-momentum tensor can be found with the help of the standard procedure, and is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu}^c = \left(\partial_\nu \bar{\Psi}(x) \right) \alpha_\mu \Psi(x). \quad (10)$$

With the help of Eq.(3) it becomes

$$T_{\mu\nu}^c = \psi_0 \partial_\nu \psi_\mu - \psi_\mu \partial_\nu \psi_0 - \psi_\rho \partial_\nu \psi_{[\rho\mu]} + \psi_{[\rho\mu]} \partial_\nu \psi_\rho. \quad (11)$$

One can verify, using Eq.(1), that the energy-momentum tensor (11) (or (10)) is conserved tensor, $\partial_\mu T_{\mu\nu}^c = 0$, but it is not the symmetric tensor, $T_{\mu\nu}^c \neq T_{\nu\mu}^c$. The symmetric energy-momentum tensor can be found from the relation [7]

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{sym} = T_{\mu\nu}^c + \Lambda_{\mu\nu}, \quad (12)$$

where the function $\Lambda_{\mu\nu}$ has to satisfy the equation $\partial_\mu \Lambda_{\mu\nu} = 0$ for the fields ψ_A obeying Eq.(1) in such a way that $T_{\mu\nu}^{sym} = T_{\nu\mu}^{sym}$. We obtain

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu} = \psi_0 \partial_\mu \psi_\nu - \psi_\nu \partial_\mu \psi_0 - \psi_\rho \partial_\mu \psi_{[\rho\nu]} + \psi_{[\rho\nu]} \partial_\mu \psi_\rho. \quad (13)$$

It is interesting that contrary to the classical electrodynamics, the trace of the symmetric energy-momentum tensor (12) is not equal to zero

$$T_{\mu\mu}^{sym} = -\kappa \left(2\psi_0^2 + \psi_{[\mu\nu]}^2 \right). \quad (14)$$

Usually, the nonzero trace of the energy-momentum tensor appears in the massive theories with broken dilatation and conformal symmetries.

To investigate the dilatation symmetry, we consider the canonical dilatation current [8]

$$D_\mu^c = x_\alpha T_{\mu\alpha}^c + \Pi_\mu \Psi, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\Pi_\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \Psi)} = -\bar{\Psi} \alpha_\mu, \quad (16)$$

and we took into account that for the Bose fields, the matrix $\mathbf{d}$ defining the field dimension, is the unit matrix. It is easy to verify, with the help of the expression $\bar{\Psi} = (-\psi_0, \psi_\mu, -\psi_{[\mu\nu]})$ and Eq.(3), that the second term in Eq.(15) is the electric current J_μ , and it vanishes:

$$J_\mu = \Pi_\mu \Psi = -\bar{\Psi} \alpha_\mu \Psi = 0. \quad (17)$$

It is obvious that for neutral fields the electric current should be zero. From Eq.(15), one finds

$$\partial_\mu D_\mu^c = T_{\mu\mu}^c = -\kappa \left(\psi_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{[\mu\nu]}^2 \right). \quad (18)$$

The same expression may be obtained from the relation [8]

$$\partial_\mu D_\mu^c = 2\Pi_\mu \partial_\mu \Psi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi} \Psi - 4\mathcal{L} = \kappa \bar{\Psi} P \Psi = -\kappa \left(\psi_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{[\mu\nu]}^2 \right). \quad (19)$$

Thus, the dilatation symmetry is broken in spite of the fields being massless. This occurs because of the presence of the parameter κ with the dimension of the mass. It should be noted, that the necessity of the dimensional parameter is because that components of the field Ψ ($\psi_0, \psi_\mu, \psi_{[\mu\nu]}$) should have the same dimension. As a result, the first-order formulation of massless fields breaks the dilatation symmetry. The appearance of mass parameters in the full theory usually takes place due to condensations of fields, and as a result, the vacuum expectations of fields are nonzero. In this toy model of free fields, we do not specify the nature of the parameter κ .

We notice that the procedure of the energy-momentum symmetrization can be done in different ways [7]. It is fruitful to obtain the Belinfante tensor [8]

$$T_{\mu\alpha}^B = T_{\mu\alpha}^c + \partial_\beta X_{\beta\mu\alpha}, \quad (20)$$

where

$$X_{\beta\mu\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} [\Pi_\beta J_{\mu\alpha} \Psi - \Pi_\mu J_{\beta\alpha} \Psi - \Pi_\alpha J_{\beta\mu} \Psi]. \quad (21)$$

The generators of the Lorentz group in the 11-dimensional representation space are given by [1]

$$J_{\mu\alpha} = \beta_\mu^{(1)} \beta_\alpha^{(1)} - \beta_\alpha^{(1)} \beta_\mu^{(1)} = \varepsilon^{\mu,\alpha} - \varepsilon^{\alpha,\mu} + \varepsilon^{[\lambda\mu],[\lambda\alpha]} - \varepsilon^{[\lambda\alpha],[\lambda\mu]}. \quad (22)$$

It is easy to obtain the tensor $X_{\beta\mu\alpha}$ from Eq.(21) in terms of components ψ_A :

$$X_{\beta\mu\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha\mu}\psi_0\psi_\beta - \delta_{\alpha\beta}\psi_0\psi_\mu + \delta_{\alpha\beta}\psi_\lambda\psi_{[\lambda\mu]} - \delta_{\alpha\mu}\psi_\lambda\psi_{[\lambda\beta]} - 2\psi_\alpha\psi_{[\beta\mu]}. \quad (23)$$

Replacing the expression (23) into Eq.(20), one finds the Belinfante symmetric energy-momentum tensor

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\alpha}^B &= 2\kappa\psi_{[\lambda\mu]}\psi_{[\alpha\lambda]} - 2\psi_\mu\partial_\alpha\psi_0 - 2\psi_\alpha\partial_\mu\psi_0 \\ &\quad + \delta_{\alpha\mu}\partial_\beta(\psi_0\psi_\beta) - \delta_{\alpha\mu}\partial_\beta(\psi_\lambda\psi_{[\lambda\beta]}). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Now we can evaluate a modified dilatation current [8]

$$D_\mu^B = x_\alpha T_{\mu\alpha}^B + V_\mu, \quad (25)$$

where the field-virial V_μ in our case becomes

$$V_\mu = \Pi_\mu\Psi - \Pi_\alpha J_{\alpha\mu}\Psi = \bar{\Psi}\alpha_\alpha J_{\alpha\mu}\Psi = \psi_\lambda\psi_{[\lambda\mu]} - 3\psi_0\psi_\mu. \quad (26)$$

Calculating the divergence of the Belinfante dilatation current (25), one obtains

$$\partial_\mu D_\mu^B = T_{\mu\mu}^B + \partial_\mu V_\mu = -\kappa\left(\psi_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\psi_{[\mu\nu]}^2\right). \quad (27)$$

Thus, we came to the same result (see Eq.(19)) that the dilatation current is not conserved, i.e. the dilatation symmetry is broken. The conformal invariance is also broken because the field-virial V_μ (see Eq.26) is not a total derivative of some local quantity $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ [8].

Now we turn to the second order formulation based on the simple Lagrangian (9). This Lagrangian describes massless fields with spin-1 and spin-0 states obeying the equation of motion: $\partial_\alpha^2 A_\mu = 0$. The canonical energy-momentum tensor becomes

$$\Theta_{\mu\alpha}^c = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}'}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\beta)}\partial_\alpha A_\beta - \delta_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{L}' = -(\partial_\mu A_\beta)(\partial_\alpha A_\beta) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\mu\alpha}(\partial_\nu A_\beta)^2, \quad (28)$$

and is also the symmetrical tensor. It easy to check, using the wave equation, that the canonical energy-momentum tensor is conserved: $\partial_\mu\Theta_{\mu\alpha}^c = 0$. From Eq.(28) one finds the non-zero energy-momentum trace

$$\Theta_{\mu\mu}^c = (\partial_\mu A_\beta)^2. \quad (29)$$

The dilatation current is given by

$$D_\mu^c = x_\alpha \Theta_{\mu\alpha}^c + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\beta)} A_\beta = x_\alpha \Theta_{\mu\alpha}^c - A_\beta \partial_\mu A_\beta. \quad (30)$$

One can verify with the help of Eq.(29) that the dilatation current (30) is conserved: $\partial_\mu D_\mu^c = 0$, i.e. the model based on the Lagrangian (9) possesses the scale invariance. Thus, in spite of non-zero trace (29), the dilatation symmetry takes place because there is no dimensional parameter in the theory. It should be noted that the Belinfante energy-momentum tensor is different from the symmetrical energy-momentum tensor (28). Simple calculations show that the modified Belinfante dilatation current is conserved. This confirms the dilatation invariance of the model based on the Lagrangian (9).

4 Quantum-mechanical Hamiltonian

The quantum-mechanical Hamiltonian may be obtained from Eq.(2). From Eq.(2), we arrive at

$$i\alpha_4 \partial_t \Psi(x) = (\alpha_a \partial_a + \kappa P) \Psi(x). \quad (31)$$

One obtains from algebra (4) the relation as follows:

$$\alpha_4 (\alpha_4^2 - 1) = 0. \quad (32)$$

Eq.(32) indicates that eigenvalues of the matrix α_4 are one and zero. As a result, the 11-dimensional function $\Psi(x)$ possesses as dynamical as well as non-dynamical components.

To separate the dynamical and non-dynamical components of the wave function, we introduce projection operators:

$$\Lambda \equiv \alpha_4^2 = \varepsilon^{0,0} + \varepsilon^{\mu,\mu} + \varepsilon^{[m4],[m4]}, \quad \Pi \equiv 1 - \Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{[mn],[mn]}, \quad (33)$$

so that $\Lambda = \Lambda^2$, $\Pi^2 = \Pi$, $\Lambda\Pi = 0$. These operators extract dynamical, $\phi(x)$, and non-dynamical $\chi(x)$ components:

$$\phi(x) = \Lambda\Psi(x), \quad \chi(x) = \Pi\Psi(x). \quad (34)$$

Multiplying Eq.(31) by the matrix α_4 and Π , one obtains the system of equations

$$i\partial_t\phi(x) = \alpha_4 (\alpha_a\partial_a + \kappa P) (\phi(x) + \chi(x)), \quad (35)$$

$$0 = (\alpha_4^2 - 1) (\alpha_a\partial_a + \kappa P) (\phi(x) + \chi(x)) \quad (36)$$

With the help of the equation $P\Pi = \Pi P = \Pi$, one obtains from Eq.(36), the expression

$$\chi(x) = \frac{1}{\kappa} (\alpha_4^2 - 1) \alpha_a \partial_a \phi(x). \quad (37)$$

Replacing the $\chi(x)$ from Eq.(37) into Eq.(35), with the aid of the equality $\alpha_4\Pi = 0$, we find the Hamiltonian form:

$$i\partial_t\phi(x) = \mathcal{H}\phi(x), \quad (38)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \alpha_4 (\alpha_a\partial_a + \kappa P) - \frac{1}{\kappa} \alpha_4 \alpha_a \Pi \alpha_b \partial_a \partial_b.$$

The 8-component Eq.(38) describes four spin states (spin one and zero) with positive and negative energies. In the component form Eq.(38) leads to equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t\psi_0 &= \partial_m\psi_{[4m]}, & i\partial_t\psi_m &= -\partial_m\psi_4 + \kappa\psi_{[m4]}, \\ i\partial_t\psi_4 &= \partial_m\psi_m + \kappa\psi_0, & i\partial_t\psi_{[m4]} &= \partial_m\psi_0 + \frac{1}{\kappa} (\partial_m\partial_n\psi_n - \partial_n^2\psi_m). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Eq.(39) can also be obtained from Eq.(1) retaining only components with time derivatives. The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ describes the evolution of the wave function $\phi(x)$ in time. Eq.(37) is equivalent to the equation $\kappa\psi_{[mn]} = \partial_m\psi_n - \partial_n\psi_m$ which does not contain the time derivative.

5 Field Quantization

We obtain from Eq.(5) the momenta

$$\pi(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\Psi(x))} = i\bar{\Psi}\alpha_4. \quad (40)$$

With the help of the relationship $[\Psi_M(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi_N(\mathbf{y}, t)] = i\delta_{MN}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$, one arrives from Eq.(40) at simultaneous quantum commutators

$$[\Psi_M(\mathbf{x}, t), (\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}, t)\alpha_4)_N] = \delta_{MN}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (41)$$

From Eq.(41), we obtain non-zero commutators of fields $\psi_A(x)$:

$$[\psi_0(\mathbf{x}, t), \psi_4(\mathbf{y}, t)] = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad [\psi_{[m4]}(\mathbf{x}, t), \psi_n(\mathbf{y}, t)] = \delta_{mn}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (42)$$

Let us consider solutions of Eq.(2) with definite energy and momentum in the form of plane waves:

$$\Psi_\lambda^{(\pm)}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k_0V}} v_\lambda(\pm k) \exp(\pm ikx), \quad (43)$$

where V is the normalization volume, $k^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2 = 0$, and λ is the spin index ($\lambda = 1, 2, 3, 4$). The 11-dimensional function $v_\lambda(\pm k)$ obeys the equation as follows:

$$(i\hat{k} \pm \kappa P) v_\lambda(\pm k) = 0, \quad (44)$$

where $\hat{k} = \alpha_\mu k_\mu$. We use the normalization conditions

$$\int_V \bar{\Psi}_\lambda^{(\pm)}(x) \alpha_4 \Psi_{\lambda'}^{(\pm)}(x) d^3x = \pm \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \quad \int_V \bar{\Psi}_\lambda^{(\pm)}(x) \alpha_4 \Psi_{\lambda'}^{(\mp)}(x) d^3x = 0, \quad (45)$$

where $\bar{\Psi}_\lambda^{(\pm)}(x) = (\Psi_\lambda^{(\pm)}(x))^+ \eta$. From normalization conditions (45), one finds relations for functions $v_\lambda(\pm k)$:

$$\bar{v}_\lambda(\pm k) \alpha_\mu v_{\lambda'}(\pm k) = \mp 2ik_\mu \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \quad \bar{v}_\lambda(\pm k) \alpha_4 v_{\lambda'}(\mp k) = 0. \quad (46)$$

Multiplying the first equation in (46) by k_μ , one obtains

$$\bar{v}_\lambda(\pm k) \hat{k} v_{\lambda'}(\pm k) = 0, \quad \bar{v}_\lambda(\pm k) P v_{\lambda'}(\pm k) = 0. \quad (47)$$

The Hamiltonian density (energy density) is given by the equation

$$\mathcal{E} = \pi(x) \partial_0 \Psi(x) - \mathcal{L} = i\bar{\Psi}(x) \alpha_4 \partial_0 \Psi(x). \quad (48)$$

In the second quantized theory, the field operators may be represented as

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{k\lambda} [a_{k,\lambda} \Psi_\lambda^{(+)}(x) + a_{k,\lambda}^+ \Psi_\lambda^{(-)}(x)],$$

$$\bar{\Psi}(x) = \sum_{k,\lambda} \left[a_{k,\lambda}^+ \bar{\Psi}_\lambda^{(+)}(x) + a_{k,\lambda} \bar{\Psi}_\lambda^{(-)}(x) \right], \quad (49)$$

where $a_{k,4} = ia_{k,0}$, $a_{k,4}^+ = ia_{k,0}^+$, and the positive and negative parts of the wave function are given by Eq.(43). The creation and annihilation operators of particles, $a_{k,\lambda}^+$, $a_{k,\lambda}$ obey the commutation relations as follows:

$$[a_{k,\lambda}, a_{k',\lambda'}^+] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{kk'}, \quad [a_{k,\lambda}, a_{k',\lambda'}] = [a_{k,\lambda}^+, a_{k',\lambda'}^+] = 0. \quad (50)$$

It should be noted that the operators $a_{k,0}$, $a_{k,0}^+$ satisfy the commutation relation $[a_{k,0}, a_{k',0}^+] = -\delta_{kk'}$ with the “wrong” sign $(-)$. With the aid of Eq.(48)-(50), and the normalization condition (46), one obtains the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int \mathcal{E} d^3x = \sum_{k,\lambda} k_0 \left(a_{k,\lambda}^+ a_{k,\lambda} + a_{k,\lambda} a_{k,\lambda}^+ \right). \quad (51)$$

The classical Hamiltonian obtained from Eq.(5) or Eq.(9) is not bounded from below, i.e. it is not positive-definite. In the second quantized theory, the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (51) are positive if we introduce the indefinite metric [5], [6]. As a result, the square norm of the ghost state is negative. This ghost state belongs to the “nonphysical” Hilbert subspace. But transitions between states from the physical subspace (with positive metric) and the ghost violate the unitarity of the S-matrix and the probability interpretation. If we impose the Lorentz condition $\partial_\mu \psi_\mu = 0$ ($\psi_0 = 0$), only transverse components will remain in the Hamiltonian (51) corresponding to two polarizations.

From Eq.(49)-(50), it is not difficult to find commutation relations for different times

$$[\Psi_M(x), \Psi_N(x')] = [\bar{\Psi}_M(x), \bar{\Psi}_N(x')] = 0, \quad (52)$$

$$[\Psi_M(x), \bar{\Psi}_N(x')] = N_{MN}(x, x'), \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{MN}(x, x') &= N_{MN}^+(x, x') - N_{MN}^-(x, x'), \\ N_{MN}^+(x, x') &= \sum_{k,\lambda} \left(\Psi_\lambda^{(+)}(x) \right)_M \left(\bar{\Psi}_\lambda^{(+)}(x') \right)_N, \\ N_{MN}^-(x, x') &= \sum_{k,\lambda} \left(\Psi_\lambda^{(-)}(x) \right)_M \left(\bar{\Psi}_\lambda^{(-)}(x') \right)_N. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

We obtain from Eq.(54)

$$N_{MN}^{\pm}(x, x') = \sum_{k, \lambda} \frac{1}{2k_0 V} (v_{\lambda}(\pm k))_M (\bar{v}_{\lambda}(\pm k))_N \exp[\pm i k(x - x')]. \quad (55)$$

In [1], we found matrices-dyad for the spin-0 state

$$v_0^{(0)}(k) \cdot \bar{v}_0^{(0)}(k) = \left(1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{i\hat{k} - \kappa \bar{P}}{\kappa}\right)^2, \quad (56)$$

and for spin-1 states²

$$v_{\pm 1}(k) \cdot \bar{v}_{\pm 1}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_k (\sigma_k \pm 1) \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(\frac{i\hat{k} - \kappa \bar{P}}{\kappa}\right)^2, \quad (57)$$

$$v_0(k) \cdot \bar{v}_0(k) = (1 - \sigma_k^2) \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(\frac{i\hat{k} - \kappa \bar{P}}{\kappa}\right)^2,$$

where σ_k is the operator of the spin projection on the direction of the momentum $\mathbf{k}$, σ^2 is the square spin operator, $\bar{P} = 1 - P$ is the projection operator, and $(v \cdot \bar{v})_{MN} = v_M \bar{v}_N$. From Eq.(56), (57), one obtains

$$\sum_{\lambda} (v_{\lambda}(\pm k))_M (\bar{v}_{\lambda}(\pm k))_N = \left(\frac{\pm i\hat{k} - \kappa \bar{P}}{\kappa}\right)_{MN}^2. \quad (58)$$

Taking into account Eq.(58), we find from Eq.(55):

$$N_{MN}^{\pm}(x, x') = \sum_k \frac{1}{2k_0 V} \left(\frac{\pm i\hat{k} - \kappa \bar{P}}{\kappa}\right)_{MN}^2 \exp[\pm i k(x - x')]$$

$$= \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - \kappa \bar{P}}{\kappa}\right)_{MN}^2 D_{\pm}(x - x'), \quad (59)$$

where the singular functions are given by [9]

$$D_+(x) = \sum_k \frac{1}{2k_0 V} \exp(ikx), \quad D_-(x) = \sum_k \frac{1}{2k_0 V} \exp(-ikx). \quad (60)$$

²In [1] we wrote out only two solutions for spin-1 states (for helicity ± 1)

With the help of the function [9]

$$D_0(x) = i (D_+(x) - D_-(x)), \quad (61)$$

from Eq.(54), (59), we obtain

$$N_{MN}(x, x') = -i \left(\frac{\alpha_\mu \partial_\mu - \kappa \bar{P}}{\kappa} \right)_{MN}^2 D_0(x - x'). \quad (62)$$

It easy to verify, using Eq.(3), that the equation

$$(\alpha_\mu \partial_\mu + \kappa P) \left(\frac{\alpha_\nu \partial_\nu - \kappa \bar{P}}{\kappa} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\alpha_\mu \partial_\mu - \kappa \bar{P}}{\kappa^2} \right) \partial_\alpha^2 \quad (63)$$

is valid. As a result, we arrive at

$$(\alpha_\mu \partial_\mu + \kappa P) N^\pm(x, x') = 0. \quad (64)$$

The vacuum expectation of chronological pairing of operators (propagator) is defined by the equation

$$\langle T \Psi_M(x) \bar{\Psi}_N(y) \rangle_0 = N_{MN}^c(x - y) \quad (65)$$

$$= \theta(x_0 - y_0) N_{MN}^+(x - y) + \theta(y_0 - x_0) N_{MN}^-(x - y),$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the theta-function. One obtains from Eq.(65):

$$\langle T \Psi_M(x) \bar{\Psi}_N(y) \rangle_0 = \left(\frac{\alpha_\mu \partial_\mu - \kappa \bar{P}}{\kappa} \right)_{MN}^2 D_c(x - y), \quad (66)$$

where the function $D_c(x - y)$ is given by

$$D_c(x - y) = \theta(x_0 - y_0) D_+(x - y) + \theta(y_0 - x_0) D_-(x - y). \quad (67)$$

Expressions (56), (57), (66) can be used for calculating different electrodynamics processes involving polarized massless particles. Taking into consideration the equation [9] $\partial_\mu^2 D_c(x) = i\delta(x)$, we find

$$(\alpha_\mu \partial_\mu + \kappa P) \langle T \Psi(x) \cdot \bar{\Psi}(y) \rangle_0 = \frac{i}{\kappa^2} (\alpha_\mu \partial_\mu - \kappa \bar{P}) \delta(x - y). \quad (68)$$

It should be noted that propagator (66) includes the contribution of the spin-0 state.

6 Conclusion

We have considered the generalized Maxwell equations that describe massless fields including spin-0 state (the ghost). The reason for this is possible cosmological applications or further investigations of quantum field theory with the indefinite metric. The ghost state gives the negative contribution to the Hamiltonian, which requires to introduce an indefinite metric [6]. Quantization of fields and propagator obtained allow us to make some necessary calculations in the quantum theory considered.

One can arrive at the classical Maxwell equations by imposing the condition $\psi_0(x) = 0$ to eliminate the ghost state. As a result, the gauge invariance will be recovered, longitudinal states eliminated, and one has only two spin states with helicity ± 1 .

One can speculate that such fields with multispin 0 and 1 exist. Then, we can consider the coupling of these massless fields to the electromagnetic fields of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = g\psi_0 F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \lambda\psi_{[\mu\nu]}F_{\mu\nu}, \quad (69)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the strength of the electromagnetic fields. The first term in the effective Lagrangian (69) is the axion-like interaction of spin-0 state with the electromagnetic fields [10]. The interaction (69) may result in the effect of vacuum birefringence and dichroism [11]. Now such effects are of the experimental interest [12]. Different schemes, leading to vacuum birefringence and dichroism are discussed in [13], [14].

References

- [1] S. I. Kruglov, Annales Fond. Broglie **26**, 725 (2001) (arXiv:math-ph/0110008).
- [2] L. D. Faddeev, A. A. Slavnov, Gauge Fields. Introduction To Quantum Theory. Front. Phys. **83**, 1-217, 1990.
- [3] V. Mukhanov, Physical Foundations of Cosmology (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
- [4] N. Arkani-Hamed, H.-C. Cheng, M. A. Luty, S. Mukohyama, JHEP **0405**, 074 (2004) (arXiv:hep-th/0312099); N. Arkani-Hamed, P. Crem-

- inelli, S. Mukohyama, M. Zaldarriaga, JCAP **0404** 001, (2004) (arXiv:hep-th/0312100).
- [5] S. I. Kruglov, Hadronic J. **24**, 167 (2001) (arXiv:quant-ph/0110042).
 - [6] S. I. Kruglov, Symmetry and Electromagnetic Interaction of Fields with Multi-Spin (Nova Science Publishers, Huntington, New York, 2001).
 - [7] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshits, The Classical Theory of Fields (Pergamon Press, 1975).
 - [8] S. Coleman and R. Jakiw, Ann. Phys. **67**, 552 (1971).
 - [9] A. I. Ahieser and V. B. Berestetskii, Quantum Electrodynamics (New York: Wiley Interscience, 1969).
 - [10] P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** (1983), 1415 ; ibid-Erratum **52** (1984), 695.
 - [11] G. Raffelt and L. Stodolsky, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 1237 (1988).
 - [12] E. Zavattini et al. [PVLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110406 (2006) (arXiv:hep-ex/0507107).
 - [13] M. Ahlers, H. Gies, J. Jaeckel, and A. Ringwald, Phys. Rev. **D 75**, 035011 (2007) (arXiv:hep-ph/0612098).
 - [14] S. I. Kruglov, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 117301 (2007); Phys. Lett. **B652**, 146 (2007) (arXiv:0705.0133 [hep-ph]); Mod. Phys. Lett. **A23**, 245 (2008) (arXiv:hep-ph/0702047).